

Minutes - Board of Directors

Version: Approved

Meeting Date: Thursday 13 July 2023

Location: Teams
Time: 4.00pm

Chair: Paul Olomolaiye (PO) Chair of the Board

Members: Rachel Mortlock (RMO) Director, Vice Chair of the Board

Adrian Coleman (ACO)

Su Coombes (SCO)

Bryony French (BF)

Director

Deb Atack (DA)

Tim Spratt (TS)

Mark Davies (MD)

Nicky McAllister (NM)

Director

Attendees: Steve Taylor (ST) CEO

Dan Nicholls (DN) Executive Director of Education

Sally Apps (SA) Executive Principal
Susie Weaver (SW) Executive Principal
Kate Richardson (KR) Executive Principal
Alison Fletcher (AFL) Director of CLF Institute

Rachel Mylrea (RMY) Director of HR

Sarah Lovell (SL) Chief Operating Officer Elizabeth Tincknell (ET) Head of Governance

Wendy Hellin (WH) Clerk
Bethan Owen (BON) Director

Anthony Cherry (ACH) Director
Suzanne Carrie (SCA) Director

Action date and no	Relates to item no	Action	Owner
22.06.23 02	9.4.4	RMY will provide a breakdown of responses by ethnicity in the next Operations Report to board. Update 13.07.23:This will be provided at the October board meeting. Ongoing	RMY
22.06.23 03	9.4.6	RMY to provide analysis on why a disproportionate number of black members of staff are leaving the trust. Update 13.07.23: This will be provided at the October board meeting. Ongoing	RMY
22.06.23 04	10.1.3	LT to confirm details of the Governance Review at the October board meeting. Update 13.07.23: LT reported that the proposals for the Governance Review have been received and NGA has been appointed to carry out the review, with the scope outlined. The review will begin in September, and an update will be provided at the October board meeting. Ongoing	LT

Minutes

Apologies:

Item	Description	Action
1	Introductions, Administration, Apologies	



1.1	PO welcomed everyone and introductions were made. Apologies are recorded above. PO	
	thanked the Executive Team for their phenomenal support for Tewkesbury Academy last	
	week.	
2	Declarations of Interest/Member & Director Declarations	
2.1	No verbal declarations were made.	
3	Minutes of Previous Meetings	
3.1	Minutes of the meeting of 22 June 2023 were approved subject to the following amendment:	
	At 8.3.2 the request was for SL to further explore the variances of supply and educational	
	costs.	
3.2	Minutes of the meeting of 6 June 2023 were approved.	
4	Matters Arising	
4.1	The action table above has been updated.	
5	Governance	
5.1	Renewal of TOO for Chairs	
5.1.1	It was proposed that the term of office for Bridget Suitters, COAC Minerva Primary Academy	
J.1.1	be extended for a further term of four years. NM endorsed the proposal.	
	Decision: the board approved the proposal.	
5.2	Appointment of new Chairs	
5.2.1	Gus Grimshaw was proposed as the Chair of Brook Academy.	
3.2.1	Decision: the board approved the proposal.	
5.3	Agenda for Member's Review Day	
5.3.1	The agenda for Member's Review Day is included at Flag E. LT advised that invites will be sent	
3.3.1	shortly.	
5.4	Proposed CLF Meeting Schedule 2023/4	
5.4.1	The proposed CLF meeting schedule is included at Flag F.	
5.5	CLF Governance Results Review – draft programme	
5.5.1	The draft programme for the CLF Governance Results Review meeting is included at Flag G.	
3.3.1	The programme follows the structure and model from last year and will take place on Teams.	
5.6	Training and Development programme for 2023/4	
5.6.1	AFL reported that a number of conversations ongoing about the extent to which the trust	
3.0.1	might be using Governor Hub. ACTION: The training programme for next year will be	AFL
	confirmed and shared at the October board meeting.	/ 11 =
5.7	Trustee and Academy Councillor vacancies	
5.7.1	LT reported that a trustee recruitment information pack has been created by the governance	
3.7.1	team and HR colleagues and it is now being shared on suitable social media platforms as well	
	as via the NGA and Governors for Schools. The pack has been uploaded to the Board Teams	
	folder and trustees are asked to share the information wherever they are able.	
5.7.2	LT reported that at the recent CLF conference a Governance stand was created and as a result	
J.,,	14 people have registered their interest to be an Academy Councillor and many flyers have	
	been circulated.	
5.8	Policies for review	
5.8.1	Positive Handling Policy	
5.8.1.1	The Positive Handling Policy is included at Flag H for review and approval. SW advised that it	
	has been very lightly updated following annual review. It will be reviewed again in the new	
	academic year.	
	Decision: The board approved the policy.	
5.8.2	Safeguarding Policy	
5.8.2.1	The Safeguarding Policy is included at Flag I for review and approval. SW advised that the	
3.3.2.2	policy is usually reviewed in October, however, due to the early update of KCSIE this year, it	
	has been reviewed early, in readiness for the new academic year.	
	Decision: The board approved the policy.	
		ı



5.8.2.2	TS asked if the Safeguarding Policy is purely for children and students and, if so, if there was a		
	suite of policies alongside it that covered staff. ST advised that the Safeguarding Policy is a		
	statutory policy for schools, specific to safeguarding children and young people. There are a		
	range of other policies and procedures for staff, such as the Health and Safety Policy, Critical		
	Incident Policy and other HR policies.		
5.8.3	Employment Manual		
5.8.3.1	RM advised that approval of the policies that make up the Employment Manual is delegated		
	to PO and DA. Currently, HR are consulting with the unions on several policies including those		
	for paternity, maternity, expenses and IT. Once the consultation has ended, the reviewed		
	policies will be shared with PO and DA for approval.		
6	Finance		
6.1	SL introduced Martin Thomas, Director of Estates and Facilities and welcomed him to his first		
	meeting.		
6.2	Budgets for 2023/24		
6.2.1	SL advised that the board were being asked to:		
	Consider the current context and financial projections;		
	Approve the 2023/24 budget;		
	Approve the submission of the budget forecast return to the ESFA for 2023/24 and		
	the two outer years.		
6.2.2	SL reported that earlier today the pending pay award for staff had been agreed between the		
	Government and the NEU, pending a vote by union members. The pay award has been agreed		
	at 6.5% and will be funded in part (3%) by the DfE. SL advised that the budget proposals were		
	put together before the pay award was agreed, and that this changed the core assumptions.		
	The result will be that the deficit originally proposed will now be a surplus.		
6.2.3	The budget setting process started in February. In April the draft budget was produced, and it		
	showed a deficit of over £2m. This was reduced to £1.2m following a range of interventions.		
	At the last board meeting, the deficit had further reduced to £790k and that was the budget		
	presented recently to the Finance Executive Group. The final budget position to be presented		
	was to have been a deficit of £311k, however, this is now showing as a surplus of £250k for		
	next year.		
6.2.4	SL highlighted the following key points:		
	There are 31 individual budgets in total, including Tewkesbury, Sky, Brook and		
	Lansdown Park.		
	A separate growth budget is included in addition to the central budget.		
	A new estates focused budget is also included.		
	The Redstart schools are not yet included; approval from the board will be sought at a		
	later date for those.		
6.2.5	SL shared the headline numbers with the board (these do not include the variation due to the		
	pay award). The income line will change, and subsequently staff costs will change. All other		
	figures will remain the same:		
	 Total income budget of £116m (of which 85% is guaranteed); 		
	The central contribution will be 5% from new schools;		
	Educational support – less has been budgeted; staff are being used to support where		
	possible;		
	 Maintenance variance – this is due to the environment grant expenditure of £530k. 		
	 Supply is £900k, compared to over £2m in 2022/23. 		
	The total SEND income has increased due to the new special and AP provisions.		
6.2.6	SL shared the individual budgets. Of the 31, 18 are reaching the 1% surplus budget, 4 are		
0.2.0	budgeted to break-even, and there are 9 deficit budgets. These will be reviewed following		
	the pay award. The revised reserves position will be just over £5.5m.		
6.3			
0.3	Next steps		



6.2.4	Challed an artist of the	
6.3.1	SL detailed next steps, including:	
	 Pupil planning – pupil numbers to be forecast for the next 3 – 5 years, with a focus on primary academies initially. 	
	 Reserves Policy – the reserves policy will be refreshed and brought to the October 	
	board meeting for approval.	
	Income streams – all income streams will be reviewed to ensure their return is	
	maximised.	
	 CLF Institute – the sustainability of the CLF Institute will be a focus for next year. 	
6.4	Revised Position	
6.4.1	The revised budget position is a £250k surplus for 2023/24, an almost breakeven position for	
0.4.1	2024/25 and a small deficit for 2025/26.	
6.5	Questions from trustees	
6.5.1	If there are more schools in the 2023/24 year, how is it that you are reducing educational	
6.5.1	fees by almost £800k?	
6.5.1.1	It is due to the varied profile of income and expenditure in the schools, specifically the way in	
0.3.1.1	which top up funding is received and spent. Some provisions recruit staff direct, and some	
	choose to provide support through third party procurements. The budget is set based on	
	staffing decisions made at the start of the year, but we do vire monies between staffing costs	
	and educational fees throughout the year.	
6.5.2	Given the pay award announcement and the easing of the financial pressure, should there	
0.5.2	not be a slightly increased budget for supply, to add some contingency to any overspend in	
	this area to avoid a large variance in 6- or 12-monthsmonths' time?	
6.2.2.1	We need to take stock of the grant and additional costs. We can allocate it to individual	
0.2.2.1	schools or support other areas. We could add it to the supply budget. We could also use it to	
	reduce the variance. ACTION: SL to review the position and consider which lines to add the	SL
	funding to (3% funded pay award).	JL
6.5.3	In terms of the planned growth, what insight do you have in terms of capital and	
0.5.5	infrastructure expenditure required for those sites?	
6.5.3.1	Tewkesbury is in a strong financial position. Learn@ provisions vary; we need to ensure we	
0.5.5.1	maximise income and control spend. For Redstart and others, there are a range of financial	
	positions. In terms of capital, part of the due diligence process has been to commission site	
	surveys, and MT is collating that information to get a sense of investment required over time.	
6.5.4	BF advised that she felt the trust should not be submitting a deficit amount in Year 3. BF asked	
0.5.4	SL to review the financials for the next two years to ensure that at least a breakeven position	
	is reached for the next three financial years. ACTION: SL to review the 3-year forecast	SL
	position.	<u> </u>
6.6	It is proposed that the board approve the budget for 2023/24 and a break-even position for	
	2024/25 2025/26.	
	Decision: The board approved the proposal.	
6.7	Insurance procurement delegation request	
6.7.1	SL requested approval to delegate the procurement of the insurance provider to two	
	Directors to allow the procurement to take place over the summer break. The value of the	
	contract is around £1m over three to four years. TS and ACO agreed to review the contract.	
	Decision: the board delegated authority to TS and ACO to approve the procurement of the	
	insurance provider.	
6.8	Technical advisor procurement	
6.8.1	This item will be considered at the October board meeting. ACTION: SL to bring the Technical	SL
	Advisor procurement to the October board meeting.	
7	Education Updates	
7.1	Primary results and other data	
7.1.1	DN shared the initial trust wide data for primary outcomes.	
7.1.1	DIN SHAFEU THE IIITHAL TRUST WILLE DATA FOR PRIMARY OUTCOMES.	



7.1.2	EYFS – 71% achieved the expected standard, or greater depth, for Good Levels of	
	Development (GLD), compared to 65% at national.	
	Phonics – 83% passed the phonics test in Year 1, compared to 75% at national.	
	KS1 – 67%, 59%, 71% for reading, writing, maths compared to national of 67%, 58%, 68%	
	reading, writing, maths.	
	MTCs – average mark 21.0% against 19.8% average for national, with 33% getting full marks	
	(25 out of 25), compared to 27% national.	
	KS2 – combined 65.2% compared to 59% national. Reading 76% against 73% national. Writing	
	77% against 71% national. Maths 78% against 73% national.	
7.1.3	Disadvantage Headlines	
	KS2 – Combined 49% (equivalent to 2022)	
	- Maths 64% (1% up on last year)	
	- Reading 62% (4% down on last year)	
	- Writing 62% (3% up on last year)	
	Of the 511 pupils across the year 6 cohort, there are 165 disadvantaged pupils.	
7.1.4	DN shared the individual academy data. Eight of the ten primary academies achieved	
	combined KS2 outcomes above the national average; the outliers are HVA at 55% and KOA at	
	33%. There are a number of schools that did very well for disadvantaged learners at KS2	
	combined including FVA at 80%, EPA at 67% and SA at 89%.	
7.2	Questions from trustees	
7.3	There is no gap for reading and writing for KS2 disadvantaged. That's quite unusual. Is	
	there a reason for this?	
7.3.1	There is only a 1% gap for all students (PP and non-PP). A lot of work has been done, over	
	time, with the subject communities with a focus on standardisation and moderation and this	
	has brought about some dividends, as has the work on raising aspirations.	
7.4	What does the data look like for white and black Caribbean students, given the recent data	
	from Bristol for EY to Year 8?	
7.4.1	There is a gap between white and black Caribbean students compared to the trust wide data.	
	White and black Caribbean students achieved 56% (KS2 combined) compared to 65% trust	
	wide. Some individual groups have compared well against trust averages.	
7.5	KOA primary stands out at KS2, with 33% combined. Is there a lack of forensic and granular	
	questioning at KOA in terms of the teaching and learning?	
7.5.1	We are disappointed with some of the results. There is some variation in cohort and with PP	
	and free school meals children. There is some success in individual subject areas where they	
	have made good progress against some very low starting points. It also speaks to the	
	provision. We will focus on ensuring there is some straightforward best practice that can be	
	shared with the academy and that the right subject knowledge enhancement is in place.	
8	Strategic Review and Reports	
8.1	CEO Report	
8.1.1	ST reported that the first part of his report reflects back on the launch of the 2030 strategy,	
	with a focus on the 7 sub-strategies, led by individual members of the Executive Team. The	
	academies' AIPs have been looked at to identify those areas that are a close link to the core	
	strategies of People, Partnerships and Disadvantaged. An academy top sheet has then been	
	created. Some concrete examples from individual academies are included in the report. There	
	is an ambition for every provision to contribute to that wider core strategy. Information on	
	work of the Attendance Hub is also included in the report	
8.1.2	The report concludes with a summary on growth and provides some tangible examples of the	
	importance of growth for the trust at this time.	
8.2	Questions from trustees	
8.3	What has been the most challenging part of the strategy to deliver to date?	
8.3.1	The commitment to disadvantage even over has been the easiest part of the strategy to land	
	with staff. It is well recognised across the trust. Staff understand the focus of the work of the	



trust, the values and the key strategic priorities. Most staff understand the second around professional development, though some colleagues feel that this aspect of work is not yet strong or meaningful enough for them. The most challenging strand partnerships, as starting points vary so much across the trust. There is some progrefor example, is further ahead in its thinking. However, there is not yet a completely perspective on partnerships and the value they add. 8.4 Given the challenges in attendance nationally, and within the trust, is 94% a realist achievable target for attendance? 8.4.1 Traditionally, individual academies would each have their own target, and this creat of tables and numbers and had the effect of inadvertently lowering the standard duvariance in context of each setting. There is now a standard model whereby the tart trust wide. Principals prefer this model. It is recognised that it is an ambitious target however, when individual year groups are looked at, it can be seen that some are he target, so it is achievable. 8.5 In the report it refers to investing in CLF partnerships. However, there are no prace examples given of partnerships with local businesses. This would be helpful, partisecondary and AP provision where students may be leaving for the world of work. 8.5.1 In terms of the core strategy, and the AIPs at academy level, we try to ensure they improvement priorities, rather than activities that would be considered business as work is already underway it may not be included in the plan. There are lots of example helpful partnerships already exist and DSSB is a good example of that. It is	our trust's I has been ess; KOA, r coherent stic and ted a mass ue to the rget is set et, itting that
work is not yet strong or meaningful enough for them. The most challenging strand partnerships, as starting points vary so much across the trust. There is some progres for example, is further ahead in its thinking. However, there is not yet a completely perspective on partnerships and the value they add. 8.4 Given the challenges in attendance nationally, and within the trust, is 94% a realist achievable target for attendance? 8.4.1 Traditionally, individual academies would each have their own target, and this creat of tables and numbers and had the effect of inadvertently lowering the standard duvariance in context of each setting. There is now a standard model whereby the tart trust wide. Principals prefer this model. It is recognised that it is an ambitious target however, when individual year groups are looked at, it can be seen that some are hearget, so it is achievable. 8.5 In the report it refers to investing in CLF partnerships. However, there are no prace examples given of partnerships with local businesses. This would be helpful, particularly secondary and AP provision where students may be leaving for the world of work. 8.5.1 In terms of the core strategy, and the AIPs at academy level, we try to ensure they improvement priorities, rather than activities that would be considered business as work is already underway it may not be included in the plan. There are lots of examples	I has been ess; KOA, coherent stic and ted a mass he to the rget is set et, itting that
partnerships, as starting points vary so much across the trust. There is some progres for example, is further ahead in its thinking. However, there is not yet a completely perspective on partnerships and the value they add. 8.4 Given the challenges in attendance nationally, and within the trust, is 94% a realist achievable target for attendance? 8.4.1 Traditionally, individual academies would each have their own target, and this creat of tables and numbers and had the effect of inadvertently lowering the standard du variance in context of each setting. There is now a standard model whereby the tark trust wide. Principals prefer this model. It is recognised that it is an ambitious target however, when individual year groups are looked at, it can be seen that some are hearget, so it is achievable. 8.5 In the report it refers to investing in CLF partnerships. However, there are no prace examples given of partnerships with local businesses. This would be helpful, partisecondary and AP provision where students may be leaving for the world of work. 8.5.1 In terms of the core strategy, and the AIPs at academy level, we try to ensure they improvement priorities, rather than activities that would be considered business as work is already underway it may not be included in the plan. There are lots of examples in the plan activities of examples are lots of examples.	ess; KOA, coherent stic and ted a mass ue to the rget is set et, itting that
for example, is further ahead in its thinking. However, there is not yet a completely perspective on partnerships and the value they add. 8.4 Given the challenges in attendance nationally, and within the trust, is 94% a realist achievable target for attendance? 8.4.1 Traditionally, individual academies would each have their own target, and this creat of tables and numbers and had the effect of inadvertently lowering the standard du variance in context of each setting. There is now a standard model whereby the tar trust wide. Principals prefer this model. It is recognised that it is an ambitious targe however, when individual year groups are looked at, it can be seen that some are he target, so it is achievable. 8.5 In the report it refers to investing in CLF partnerships. However, there are no prace examples given of partnerships with local businesses. This would be helpful, partices secondary and AP provision where students may be leaving for the world of work. 8.5.1 In terms of the core strategy, and the AIPs at academy level, we try to ensure they improvement priorities, rather than activities that would be considered business as work is already underway it may not be included in the plan. There are lots of examples in the plan	ted a mass ue to the rget is set et, itting that
 8.4 Given the challenges in attendance nationally, and within the trust, is 94% a realist achievable target for attendance? 8.4.1 Traditionally, individual academies would each have their own target, and this creat of tables and numbers and had the effect of inadvertently lowering the standard du variance in context of each setting. There is now a standard model whereby the tar trust wide. Principals prefer this model. It is recognised that it is an ambitious target however, when individual year groups are looked at, it can be seen that some are he target, so it is achievable. 8.5 In the report it refers to investing in CLF partnerships. However, there are no prace examples given of partnerships with local businesses. This would be helpful, partisecondary and AP provision where students may be leaving for the world of work 8.5.1 In terms of the core strategy, and the AIPs at academy level, we try to ensure they improvement priorities, rather than activities that would be considered business as work is already underway it may not be included in the plan. There are lots of examples as work is already underway it may not be included in the plan. 	ted a mass ue to the rget is set et, itting that
 8.4 Given the challenges in attendance nationally, and within the trust, is 94% a realist achievable target for attendance? 8.4.1 Traditionally, individual academies would each have their own target, and this creat of tables and numbers and had the effect of inadvertently lowering the standard du variance in context of each setting. There is now a standard model whereby the tar trust wide. Principals prefer this model. It is recognised that it is an ambitious target however, when individual year groups are looked at, it can be seen that some are he target, so it is achievable. 8.5 In the report it refers to investing in CLF partnerships. However, there are no prace examples given of partnerships with local businesses. This would be helpful, partisecondary and AP provision where students may be leaving for the world of work 8.5.1 In terms of the core strategy, and the AIPs at academy level, we try to ensure they improvement priorities, rather than activities that would be considered business as work is already underway it may not be included in the plan. There are lots of examples in the plan i	ted a mass ue to the rget is set et, itting that
 8.4 Given the challenges in attendance nationally, and within the trust, is 94% a realist achievable target for attendance? 8.4.1 Traditionally, individual academies would each have their own target, and this creat of tables and numbers and had the effect of inadvertently lowering the standard du variance in context of each setting. There is now a standard model whereby the tartrust wide. Principals prefer this model. It is recognised that it is an ambitious target however, when individual year groups are looked at, it can be seen that some are hearder, so it is achievable. 8.5 In the report it refers to investing in CLF partnerships. However, there are no prace examples given of partnerships with local businesses. This would be helpful, partisecondary and AP provision where students may be leaving for the world of work. 8.5.1 In terms of the core strategy, and the AIPs at academy level, we try to ensure they improvement priorities, rather than activities that would be considered business as work is already underway it may not be included in the plan. There are lots of examples. 	ted a mass ue to the rget is set et, itting that
 achievable target for attendance? 8.4.1 Traditionally, individual academies would each have their own target, and this creat of tables and numbers and had the effect of inadvertently lowering the standard du variance in context of each setting. There is now a standard model whereby the tar trust wide. Principals prefer this model. It is recognised that it is an ambitious targe however, when individual year groups are looked at, it can be seen that some are h target, so it is achievable. 8.5 In the report it refers to investing in CLF partnerships. However, there are no prace examples given of partnerships with local businesses. This would be helpful, partisecondary and AP provision where students may be leaving for the world of work. 8.5.1 In terms of the core strategy, and the AIPs at academy level, we try to ensure they improvement priorities, rather than activities that would be considered business as work is already underway it may not be included in the plan. There are lots of examples. 	ted a mass ue to the rget is set et, itting that
 8.4.1 Traditionally, individual academies would each have their own target, and this creat of tables and numbers and had the effect of inadvertently lowering the standard du variance in context of each setting. There is now a standard model whereby the tar trust wide. Principals prefer this model. It is recognised that it is an ambitious targe however, when individual year groups are looked at, it can be seen that some are h target, so it is achievable. 8.5 In the report it refers to investing in CLF partnerships. However, there are no prace examples given of partnerships with local businesses. This would be helpful, partices secondary and AP provision where students may be leaving for the world of work. 8.5.1 In terms of the core strategy, and the AIPs at academy level, we try to ensure they improvement priorities, rather than activities that would be considered business as work is already underway it may not be included in the plan. There are lots of examples are not provided in the plan. 	rget is set et, itting that
of tables and numbers and had the effect of inadvertently lowering the standard du variance in context of each setting. There is now a standard model whereby the tar trust wide. Principals prefer this model. It is recognised that it is an ambitious targe however, when individual year groups are looked at, it can be seen that some are h target, so it is achievable. 8.5 In the report it refers to investing in CLF partnerships. However, there are no prace examples given of partnerships with local businesses. This would be helpful, partices secondary and AP provision where students may be leaving for the world of work. 8.5.1 In terms of the core strategy, and the AIPs at academy level, we try to ensure they improvement priorities, rather than activities that would be considered business as work is already underway it may not be included in the plan. There are lots of examples are not to be included in the plan.	rget is set et, itting that
variance in context of each setting. There is now a standard model whereby the tar trust wide. Principals prefer this model. It is recognised that it is an ambitious target however, when individual year groups are looked at, it can be seen that some are he target, so it is achievable. 8.5 In the report it refers to investing in CLF partnerships. However, there are no prace examples given of partnerships with local businesses. This would be helpful, partice secondary and AP provision where students may be leaving for the world of work. 8.5.1 In terms of the core strategy, and the AIPs at academy level, we try to ensure they improvement priorities, rather than activities that would be considered business as work is already underway it may not be included in the plan. There are lots of examples are the plan activities and the plan are lots of examples.	rget is set et, itting that
trust wide. Principals prefer this model. It is recognised that it is an ambitious target however, when individual year groups are looked at, it can be seen that some are harget, so it is achievable. 8.5 In the report it refers to investing in CLF partnerships. However, there are no prace examples given of partnerships with local businesses. This would be helpful, partices secondary and AP provision where students may be leaving for the world of work. 8.5.1 In terms of the core strategy, and the AIPs at academy level, we try to ensure they improvement priorities, rather than activities that would be considered business as work is already underway it may not be included in the plan. There are lots of examples are not provided to the plan.	et, itting that
however, when individual year groups are looked at, it can be seen that some are h target, so it is achievable. 8.5 In the report it refers to investing in CLF partnerships. However, there are no prace examples given of partnerships with local businesses. This would be helpful, partice secondary and AP provision where students may be leaving for the world of work. 8.5.1 In terms of the core strategy, and the AIPs at academy level, we try to ensure they improvement priorities, rather than activities that would be considered business as work is already underway it may not be included in the plan. There are lots of examples that would be considered business as work is already underway it may not be included in the plan. There are lots of examples that would be considered business as work is already underway it may not be included in the plan.	itting that
8.5 In the report it refers to investing in CLF partnerships. However, there are no prace examples given of partnerships with local businesses. This would be helpful, particularly secondary and AP provision where students may be leaving for the world of work. 8.5.1 In terms of the core strategy, and the AIPs at academy level, we try to ensure they improvement priorities, rather than activities that would be considered business as work is already underway it may not be included in the plan. There are lots of examples to the plan in the plan in the plan in the plan in the plan.	
 8.5 In the report it refers to investing in CLF partnerships. However, there are no prace examples given of partnerships with local businesses. This would be helpful, particularly secondary and AP provision where students may be leaving for the world of work 8.5.1 In terms of the core strategy, and the AIPs at academy level, we try to ensure they improvement priorities, rather than activities that would be considered business as work is already underway it may not be included in the plan. There are lots of examples 	tical
examples given of partnerships with local businesses. This would be helpful, partic secondary and AP provision where students may be leaving for the world of work 8.5.1 In terms of the core strategy, and the AIPs at academy level, we try to ensure they improvement priorities, rather than activities that would be considered business as work is already underway it may not be included in the plan. There are lots of examples that would be considered business as work is already underway it may not be included in the plan.	
8.5.1 In terms of the core strategy, and the AIPs at academy level, we try to ensure they improvement priorities, rather than activities that would be considered business as work is already underway it may not be included in the plan. There are lots of examples to the control of the world of work is already underway it may not be included in the plan.	
8.5.1 In terms of the core strategy, and the AIPs at academy level, we try to ensure they improvement priorities, rather than activities that would be considered business as work is already underway it may not be included in the plan. There are lots of exam	7
improvement priorities, rather than activities that would be considered business as work is already underway it may not be included in the plan. There are lots of exam	
work is already underway it may not be included in the plan. There are lots of exam	
	•
feature of the trust's CEIAG strategy and was the feature of a conversation with CEI	•
leaders in the trust just this week.	
8.6 2022/23 Sub Strategy Update	
8.6.1 SL advised that Link trustees have met with the Executive Team member leading on	each of
the 7 sub-strategies two or three times over the year to review progress against the	
report is included at Flag M. All are on track in terms of where they should be at the	-
the year. Over the next few weeks colleagues will wrap up the review process to co	-
which strands are complete and which will carry over to next year.	
8.7 2023/24 Education plan	
8.7.1 DN provided an overview of the Education Plan for 2023/24, highlighting that the fo	ocus is to
continue to build a strong trust, to support great schools, to meet the needs of all st	
The full presentation is included at Flag N.	
8.8 Professional Services Plan	
8.8.1 SL provided an overview of the Professional Services Plan for 2023/24, highlighting	the four
key strands of Recruiting Well, Staying Well, Developing Well, Growing Well. The ful	
presentation is included at Flag O.	
8.9 Questions from trustees	
8.9.1 When the plan is finalised, will there be clear targets or specific KPIs to allow the I	board to
see if progress is being made against the plan?	
8.9.1.1 There will be targets included so that we know if we have been successful. For exam	nple, for
growth, there will be some targets around how we induct academies and how quick	kly they
are compliant. RM and SL are considering a range of targets to include for the recru	•
and retention strand.	
8.10 ST noted that the request in the board paper asked the board to approve the draft	plan for
Year 2 of the CLF Strategy 2030.	
Decision: The board approved the draft plan for Year 2.	
9 AOB	
9.1 The draft agenda for the October 2024 board meeting is included at Flag P.	
9.2 Data Protection Policy	
•	approval,
9.2.1 LT reported that the DP Policy was presented to the board at the June meeting for a	



	information about parents using Air Tags. LT advised that the follow up work was complete, and the trust's DP Officer had advised that it does not need to be included in the policy. This is because if parents use Air Tags the data belongs to them, not to the trust. SW reassured the board that associated safeguarding risks had also been reviewed and no material risks were identified. LT asked the board to approve the DP Policy. Decision: The board approved the Data Protection Policy.	
10	Close of Meeting	
10.1	The next full board meeting takes place on 12 October 2023. There is a short additional board meeting on 20 September 2023. The meeting closed at 18.55hrs.	

Actions carried forward:

Action date	Relates to	Action	Owner
and no 22.06.23 02	9.4.4	RMY will provide a breakdown of responses by ethnicity in the next Operations Report to board. Update 13.07.23:This will be provided at the October board meeting. Ongoing	RMY
22.06.23 03	9.4.6	RMY to provide analysis on why a disproportionate number of black members of staff are leaving the trust. Update 13.07.23: This will be provided at the October board meeting. Ongoing	RMY
22.06.23 04	10.1.3	LT to confirm details of the Governance Review at the October board meeting. Update 13.07.23: LT reported that the proposals for the Governance Review have been received and NGA has been appointed to carry out the review, with the scope outlined. The review will begin in September, and an update will be provided at the October board meeting. Ongoing	LT
13.07.23 01	5.6.1	The training programme for next year will be confirmed and shared at the October board meeting.	AFL
13.07.23 02	6.5.4	SL to review the 3-year budget forecast position.	SL
13.07.23 03	6.8.1	SL to bring the Technical Advisor procurement to the October board meeting.	SL