

Minutes - Board of Directors

Version: Approved

Meeting Date: Thursday 22 June 2023

Location: CLF Institute
Time: 4.00pm

Chair: Paul Olomolaiye (PO) Chair of the Board

Members: Rachel Mortlock (RMO) Director, Vice Chair of the Board

Anthony Cherry (ACH) Director Leaving early

Adrian Coleman (ACO) Director Su Coombes (SCO) Director Bryony French (BF) Director Suzanne Carrie (SCA) Director Deb Atack (DA) Director Tim Spratt (TS) Director Mark Davies (MD) Director Bethan Owen (BON) Director Nicky McAllister (NM) Director

Attendees: Steve Taylor (ST) CEO

Dan Nicholls (DN) Executive Director of Education

Sally Apps (SA) Executive Principal
Susie Weaver (SW) Executive Principal
Kate Richardson (KR) Executive Principal
Alison Fletcher (AFL) Director of CLF Institute

Rachel Mylrea (RMY) Director of HR

Sarah Lovell (SL) Chief Operating Officer
Elizabeth Tincknell (ET) Head of Governance

Wendy Hellin (WH) Clerk

Apologies:

Minutes

Item	Description	Action
1	Introductions, Administration, Apologies	
1.1	PO welcomed everyone and introductions were made. No apologies were received.	
2	Declarations of Interest/Member & Director Declarations	
2.1	No verbal declarations were made.	
3	Minutes of Previous Meetings	
3.1	Minutes of the meeting of 4 May 2023 were approved subject to the word 'distorted' being	
	replaced with 'applied' at 5.41.	
4	Matters Arising	
4.1	SL to arrange for a check to be completed on the use of the name Sky Academy. SL has completed this action. The academy will be called The Sky Academy. The usual marketing checks have been carried out to ensure it is appropriate to use this name.	
5	Education Report	
5.1	Education scrutiny	



5.1.1	BON reported that since the last board meeting there have been two Academy Scrutiny	
J.1.1	meetings and one Executive Scrutiny meeting. Details are included in the Education report	
	and full minutes are available in the Board folder on Teams.	
5.1.2	BON advised that ahead of the Academy Scrutiny meeting of 25 May 2023, she visited JCA,	
J.1.2	Post 16 and LHA and highlighted that:	
	JCA was a calm and purposeful setting with self-regulating engaged students and a	
	Principal that knows the community well;	
	 At Post 16 BON saw an excited student body in a setting with a unique offer that is 	
	very inclusive and includes Level 2 and Level 3 courses;	
	The Principal is leading fantastic work at LHA to ensure ongoing opportunities to work	
	'in the moment' and make the learning right for the students at LHA, within a safe and	
	supportive structure.	
5.1.3	DN noted that all Principals highly value the scrutiny process and that they also very much	
	welcome the academy visits ahead of the meeting itself.	
5.1.4	PO commended RMO and BON for their leadership of the two cluster scrutiny panels. PO	
	asked if the scrutiny process will need to change going forwards to reflect the growth of the	
	trust and the increase in the number of academies. ST advised that this is part of a wider	
	consideration around how the board retains oversight of the whole trust and ensures the	
	effectiveness of local governance. The attendance at scrutiny meetings has always been based	
	on risk. Going forwards a choice will need to be made around whether the panel continues to	
	see all academies across the year or moves to a more focused risk assessed approach where	
	lower risk academies are considered in a different way. A governance away-day is planned for	
	September/October next year and this will be considered further at that stage.	
5.2	Key stages EYFS to p16	
5.2.1	DN spoke to the Education Report, highlighting the following:	
	Summer 2023 assessments and examinations are almost complete and have gone	
	well with very good attendance from students across the trust.	
	There remains a challenge around recruitment and retention of staff.	
	Further strike action is planned for 2 dates in July.	
	The Ofsted table now shows that all academies are good or outstanding. The report	
	also includes updated Ofsted information around which academic year future visits	
	might be expected to happen.	
	There is a huge amount of effort still focused on improving attendance which remains	
	a high priority, and this includes work on the Attendance Hubs.	
	SEND will have a higher profile in the Education Plan for next year.	
	A lot of BIG events have taken place recently; updates are provided in the paper;	
	further consideration is being given as to how BIG will be organised as the trust	
	grows.	
	PO asked that the board's thanks to the Executive Team and to the teams at BBA and SV be	
F 2 2	noted and passed back to those academies. Questions from trustees	
5.2.2 5.2.3	Given the focus on careers and the need to work with employers for the careers fairs, how	
5.2.5	much does the trust utilise the contacts of trustees and Academy Councillors?	
5.2.4	The academies and the trust do reach out to connections through governance and trust	
J.2. r	structures. The challenge is to make sure the schools keep those direct links; there is some	
	tension to opening them up trust wide. People to not want to over-burden their contacts.	
	I tension to opening them up trust wide. Feoble to not want to over-burden their comacts.	i
	There is some sharing of particular pieces of work with sponsors too, but normally that is	



5.2.5	In light of LHA still developing and some of the Learn@ academies joining the trust, what	
	learning has been taken from the recent inspection at Snowdon Village?	
5.2.6	There is a focus on the role of commissioning the places at those AP provisions and in	
	particular, what has been commissioned for each pupil vs what the curriculum looks like for	
	them. The reading strategy was highlighted as a strength at SV and will be important to	
	reflect across other AP provisions. There was a focus on provision rather than on	
	interventions, and the need to ensure the provision is right, and of high quality, for every	
	student.	
5.2.7	The risk rating for SV is a 4. Given the recent successes is that a true reflection of risk?	
5.2.8	Although SV is robustly good, as an AP it carries some inherent risk that is different to	
	mainstream schools. It might be worth considering a different risk rating for AP and special as	
	the trust grows further as it is acknowledged that the risks are always going to be inherently	
	different.	
5.2.9	Given the risk rating at KOA, are you comfortable with the amount of central support	
	provided there, partly due to the amount of change that has happened there but also due	
	to the quality of the estate and the daily operational challenges of running that site?	
5.2.10	KOA has been a risk rating of 5 for a period of time and has been invested in heavily in terms	
	of resource over the last 12 to 18 months. At times, there has been too much support, and	
	this has confused things. We have scaled this back a bit to ensure the right balance is there	
	now, and the right people are supporting. There is a lot of support at subject level and KO is	
	supported well by Jon Jones and SW. There is not much further that can be put in at present	
	but there is zero complacency and KOA remains very high on everyone's agenda. In terms of	
	the estate, there has been a lot of investment in the site, and it is much improved from where	
	it was in 2011. A range of visitors have toured the site but as yet that has not unlocked any	
	significant additional support or funding.	
5.3	Safeguarding	
5.3.1	SW reported that safeguarding continues to be challenged by SCO in her Board Link role. The	
	report included in the meeting papers includes some minimal updates due to the new	
	Keeping Children Safe in Education guidance.	
5.3.2	Safeguarding audits are underway across the trust; both internal and statutory. This year	
	colleagues have worked well with others in the team to use a tool to report back to	
	academies with an action plan and by the end of term the annual report will be complete and	
	will be brought to the October board meeting.	
5.3.3	SCO noted that there have been a number of critical incidents involving young people in	
	Bristol and South Gloucestershire recently and that the Executive Team played a key role in	
	the response of the multi-agency team, prioritising the young people involved at all times.	
5.3.4	Questions from trustees	
5.3.5	It is clear how important it is to build good relationships to improve safeguarding processes.	
	What plans are in place to ensure effective relationships as the trust expands to	
	Gloucestershire and Somerset?	
5.3.6	We know what makes really effective partnership and multi-agency working and will seek to	
	build positive relationships, over time, within the new cluster areas, as well as continuing to	
	build on the existing relationships in Bristol, North Somerset and South Gloucestershire.	
6	CLF institute	
6.1	AFL reported that it remains difficult to recruit to teacher training. CLF has 43 confirmed	
	offers for SCITT trainees for next year against a target of 82. Recruitment will continue	
	through the summer and high-quality applications are still being received. A number of	
	trainees have accepted places but then have withdrawn due to financial concerns.	
6.2	AFL advised that the expected Ofsted inspection has still not taken place.	
6.3	The trainees finish on 7 July and are having great success in securing appointments. However,	
	there are also a proportion of trainees that complete the course and then choose a profession	
	other than teaching.	



6.4	The Five Counties Alliance currently has approximately 1,200 Early Career Teachers (ECTs) and	
	530 participants on NPQ leadership development programmes this year. Most of the Year 2	
	Teaching School Hub KPIs have been met. Of these there are 114 CLF ECTs (64 Year 2s, 50	
	Year 1s) and 130 CLF leaders engaged in NPQ programmes.	
6.5	Engagement with the Boolean maths hub remains strong. A strong transition is underway for	
	Alf Coles to take over as Chair from Tony Coles. AFL thanked ACH for his strong leadership	
	and support over the last few years.	
6.6	Questions from trustees	
6.7	The report states 'The last DfE schools' workforce data (from 2021) showed 12.5% of new	
	teachers left teaching after 1 year; 23% left within 3 years; and 31.2% within 5 years.' Is	
674	there any comparable data from other trusts available?	
6.7.1	The data exists and can be provided outside the meeting.	
6.8	What is the conversion rate from graduation to an ECT in the CLF? Is one of the limiting	
C O 1	factors due to a lack of posts available in the CLF?	
6.8.1	The conversion rate is 50% approximately. Our partnership is wider than the trust and	
	includes schools in neighbouring trusts. Most trainees stay local to their area. The trust has	
	pooled applications where there are shortages in certain subject areas for secondary.	
6.9	Currently there are more vacancies than graduates.	
6.9	Is there some potential to look to retain teachers with a promise of an appointment in the CLF?	
6.9.1	We do not make that promise but do ensure we invite trainees to panels. Despite it being an	
	extremely competitive market, it is important that the best candidate is appointed, and this is	
	often the CLF trainee.	
6.10	Do you tap into contacts such as CLF alumni, under graduates etc when considering trainee	
	recruitment? Have you considered offering paid internships etc?	
6.10.1	We can do more in that area, we don't currently use all of those sources. We do get quite a	
	lot apply for teacher training but do need to be more deliberate around paid internships etc.	
6.11	Do you have processes in place to ensure you understand the diversity of applications,	
	offers made and accepted?	
6.11.1	We do have data, but it is skewed due to the small cohort. Our diversity is broader than most	
	providers with approximately 15% of trainees from diverse backgrounds. The shadowing	
	scheme for colleagues from minority backgrounds is in it's third cohort. The first year there	
	were 2 colleagues taking part, then it increased to 5 and then 7. The programme is aimed at	
6.40	supporting colleagues from minoritized ethnic backgrounds into leadership roles.	
6.12	How much are you doing to try to intervene and support those trainees that are considering	
	leaving the profession? Are you able to intervene before they make that final decision, or	
6 1 2 1	do you not know until it is too late?	
6.12.1	We do as much as we can to support them throughout their training and try to shelter the	
	trainees from some of the current issues within the teacher workforce around pay and disputes. The financial incentives are very unhelpful. There is a good bursary offered	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	throughout the training. Trainees are supposed to pay it back if they do not go into teaching	
	but there is no mechanism to reclaim that money. We ensure the wider benefits of being in	
7	the CLF are accessible to trainees, including the support of PAC and FNN. Strategic Developments	
7.1	CEO Report	
7.1.1	There will be a report at the Board Strategic Review meeting of 13 July encompassing	
/.I.I	feedback from all Link Directors on progress of the sub-strategies, as well as planning against	
	the ambitions of the CLF Core Strategy 2030 for 2023-2024.	
7.1.2		
1.1.2	ST reported that the trust is a member of the Queen Street Group which held a meeting of CEOs yesterday with guest speakers Baroness Baran and Susan Ackland-Hood. There are a	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	number of factors that are contributing to it being an unsettled time in education, not least	



	the uncertainty around the pay award for this year. The trust has budgeted for a 5% teacher	
	pay award and it is hoped that a proportion of the award will be funded by the DfE.	
7.1.3	The report provides an update on the trust's growth projects. The positive working	
	relationships with the DfE and other partners have continued to support the smooth running	
	of both the formal processes and the softer induction of schools into the trust. The Regional	
	Director for the Southwest support's the CLF's ambition to grow the special and AP provision	
	in the trust.	
8	Finance	
8.1	Finance Report	
8.1.1	SL provided the following updates:	
	 Budgets will be presented for approval at the July board meeting. 	
	 This year has seen the most challenging budget setting process due to the increased 	
	costs including the pay awards and high utility costs.	
	The budget setting process started in January and normally ends in June with budgets	
	presented to the board for approval before being submitted to the DfE; this year the	
	DfE have extended the budget deadline due to the uncertainty around pay awards.	
	The first draft budget showed a deficit of over £2m. Following further work, this has	
	reduced to a deficit of £790k and this is the budget that has now been presented to	
	the Finance Executive Group (FEG). Further follow up has resulted in some additional	
	savings and the position is that the trust will be asking for approval for a much	
	smaller deficit.	
	The reserves of £4m will support a deficit budget.	
	 Should the pay award be funded for anything offered over 4.5%, this could potentially 	
	negate the deficit position.	
8.1.2	BF confirmed that the budget proposal had been thoroughly discussed at the FEG meeting.	
	BF recommended that the board are provided with further information in July on the financial	
	opportunities and threats as well as some detail around what financial levers the board could	
	enact if cost savings were required.	
8.1.3	PO commended the Executive Team on ensuring cost savings in excess of £1m have already	
	been found.	
8.2	Management Accounts	
8.2.1	As of April 2023, the CLF is currently reporting a deficit of £201k, which is £502k adverse to	
	budget.	
8.3	Questions from trustees	
8.3.1	There are two areas where there are large variances – supply costs and educational fees.	
	What is the risk in these areas for 2024?	
8.3.2	Supply is an ongoing challenge, both in relation to absence and the difficulties in recruitment.	
	Supply is included in the budget but often the spend exceeds the budget. Part of the reason	
	for this is that there are challenges in appointing staff; where vacancies remain unfilled the	
	supply cost will be offset by a saving in the teaching costs. The challenge with supply is wider	
	that then financial cost of it. It is essential that the students have a consistent education	
	experience and that the provision in classroom is of a high quality to support this.	
8.3.3	Educational fees are a direct correlation to the income received for pupils with additional	
	needs. This year there are more pupils with additional funding, and this is most often spent on	
	educational resources.	
8.3.4	ACTION: SL was asked to explore the variances with supply and educational costs prior to	SL
	submitting to the board meeting of 13 July 2023.	
8.4	Investment	
8.4.1	SL advised that the trust's approach to investment has been reviewed in order to ensure the	
	best return for the trust. It is recommended that the trust use a product platform to achieve	
	this and is proposing a platform used by some other trusts called Insignis Cash Solutions. SL	
	I have a series of the series	<u> </u>



	the first that the constant had been a first the first and the second state.				
	confirmed that the proposal had been reviewed by the FEG and met the requirements of the				
0.5	trust's Treasury Management Policy and those of the ESFA.				
8.5	Questions from trustees				
8.5.1	Have you looked at the rates you might expect and compared the return to what is				
	currently achieved? Is a material upturn expected?				
8.5.2	Yes, there will be an increased return on the capital invested.				
8.5.3	In terms of the Financial Services Compensation Scheme (FSCS), does the limit that applies				
	to individuals (£85k) apply to institutions?				
8.5.4	I believe so but we can check that.				
8.5.5	Proposal: The board are asked to approve the set-up and use of Insignis Cash Solutions as an				
	investment platform.				
8.5.6	Decision: The board approved the proposal subject to SL confirming the FSCS position around				
	the £85k limit for compensation.				
9	Operations Report				
9.1	Professional Services Update				
9.1.1	The new Director of Estates and Facilities joins the trust on 1 July and will meet the				
	board at the next meeting. He will focus on ensure the estates are well maintained				
	and will consider how the estates can be used to further generate income.				
	A Project Manager has been appointed; this role will primarily support the growth of				
	the trust. Additional capacity to support and respond to growth will be sourced as				
	needed.				
	The Professional Services Plan is being updated; further information will be provided				
	for the July board meeting.				
9.2	Estates and Projects Update				
9.2.1	SL and AL provided the following update:				
	LHA is still waiting for planning permission in relation to the permanent site.				
	WHA is progressing; there is a revised opening date of Term 2. The group NIC protein. Progressing is being involved and in some project of the progressing in t				
	The new MIS system, Bromcom, is being implemented in some primary academies this work and into some accordance and price next work.				
	this week and into some secondary academies next week.				
	Tewkesbury Academy will join the trust on 1 July 2023. The project is going well and The GTA was sized as Wednesday, and all The GTA was sized as Wednesd				
	reaches some critical deadlines this week. The CTA was signed on Wednesday, and all				
	is in place for the transfer date of 1 July. There is a celebration event at Tewkesbury next Friday and trustees are invited to attend. After transfer has taken place, the				
	project will be reviewed in great depth to ensure the trust continues to refine and				
	develop the transfer process.				
	A PSG has been set up for each of the three Learn@ schools; AL and SA are members				
	of each of those groups.				
	Heathfield has a transfer date of 1 November, and the transfer arrangements are now				
	being planned.				
9.3	IT				
9.3.1	AL provided the following update:				
3.3.1	A new IT Project Engineer role has been created to provide additional capacity for				
	growth, and to provide additional rigor and oversight for the rebuild of networks				
	where required.				
	The trust has secured just over £348k of funding offered by the DfE to improve the				
	network speed in schools through the upgrading of the infrastructure. This will ensure				
	internet connection is fast and reliable for a number of years to come and will allow				
	the IT budget to be spent on the annual refresh of end user equipment.				
9.3.2	Questions from trustees				
9.3.3	As you integrate the new IT, what assurances can you give the board around international				
	security?				



9.3.4	We have now moved to a standard model for all academies, ensuring they are all on the same	
	core network. We will rebuild the IT in the new schools this summer, modelling that same	
	standard. This will remove that risk. To mitigate that risk until the rebuild takes place we are	
	running penetration tests and other diagnostic work. The summer break also provides some	
	mitigation due to the very low numbers of users on site.	
9.3.5	PO congratulate you on helpdesk survey. Amazing. Work hard to ensure we don't deliver	
	service, it's a relationship. Reflected in survey we got. If negative had conversation, and	
	positive stuff share fortnightly across team. Send congratulation to helpdesk team.	
9.4	HR	
9.4.1	RMY provided feedback on the staff survey:	
	The survey sits alongside the work SA is doing on Voice to embed a culture of regular	
	check-ins with staff, parents and pupils.	
	The platform used is Edurio and external benchmarking data is provided.	
	The response rate was 57% compared to 54% last year. The first state was 57% compared to 54% last year. The first state was 57% compared to 54% last year.	
	The feedback shows that scoring is particularly high around staff feeling supportive	
	and being part of a team. Results were strong around trust perception and staff	
	feeling connected into the trust.	
	The lower scoring area was around student behaviour. A lot of work is being done in	
0.4.2	that area, including a focus on professional development but it remains challenging.	
9.4.2	Questions from trustees	
9.4.3	Have you a breakdown on ethnicity in terms of the staff survey results?	
9.4.4	Further analysis is still being carried out and this is one of the areas being examined by Saima	DNAV
	Akhtar. The system allows you to look at sectionality on each of the questions. ACTION: RMY	RMY
9.4.5	will provide a breakdown of responses by ethnicity in the next Operations Report to board.	
9.4.5	Will that also inform a piece of work in relation to retention? A disproportionate number of black members of staff are leaving the trust. Are you able to unpick why that is the case?	
9.4.6	Yes, we can include that in the data for the next meeting. ACTION: RMY to provide analysis	RMY
3.4.0	on why a disproportionate number of black members of staff are leaving the trust.	KIVII
9.4.7	What is the Endurio external benchmark?	
9.4.8	Endurio have a strong foothold in the sector and have similar mid-term data.	
9.4.9	Where there is some variation between schools, was that variation expected, or were there	
	some surprises?	
9.4.10	The span is interesting, and some academy response rates are quite low, while some are very	
	high. The size of the school and therefore responses, sometimes skews the data, so we add	
	this information to our existing knowledge; there was nothing that was particularly out of	
	kilter to that expected.	
9.5	EDI	
9.5.1	The Term 5 review has taken place, with a focus on:	
	EDI Professional Development	
	Guidance from VWV around the topic of gender	
	EQIA - with a focus on the Behaviour and Attendance developments	
	EDI audit from Haines Watts – colleagues are working through the recommendations of	
	the audit.	
9.5.2	Questions from trustees	
9.5.3	How are you supporting BAME students and disadvantaged students to access work	
	experience opportunities?	
9.5.4	There is careers coaching work taking place and we work with the LA to provide mentoring	
	and guidance to students, as well as with Babassa. We are alert to the potential gap and	
	associated risk.	
10	Governance Report	
10.1	Governance Paper	



10.1.1	LT reported that the governance team is working well, with Charlotte Seavill developing well	
	in her knew role. William Lamb has now joined the team as Information Governance Officer	
	leading on Data Protection, Freedom of Information requests, subject access requests and	
	website compliance. WL will also, in due course, lead on policy work.	
10.1.2	Work is under way with the new schools coming across to the trust. There will be some	
	recruitment to do. The trust continues to work with Governors for Schools to recruit to the	
	Academy Councillor vacancies. New literature is being prepared and will be distributed to all	
	schools and out into the community.	
10.1.3	A second proposal has been received for the Governance Review. This is being explored and	
	next steps will be confirmed at the October board meeting. ACTION: LT to confirm details of	LT
	the Governance Review at the October board meeting.	
10.2	Health and Safety Policy	
10.2.1	The Health and Safety Policy has had an annual review. The board approved the Health and	
	Safety Policy.	
10.3	Data Protection Policy	
10.3.1	The Data Protection Policy has been updated following annual review. BF asked if the policy	
	could include the trust's position on parents air tagging the location of pupils. This will be	
	considered. The policy will be brought to a future a Board meeting for approval once the issue	
	of air tagging pupils has been considered.	
10.4	Provider Access Policy Statement	
10.4.1	The board approved the Provider Access Policy Statement.	
10.5	Charging and Remissions Policy	
10.5.1	The board approved the Provider Access Policy Statement.	
10.6	Modern Slavery Statement	
10.6.1	The board approved the Modern Slavery Statement.	
10.0.1	Trustee and Councillor Expenses Policy	
10.71	The board approved the Trustee and Councillor Expenses Policy.	
	Renewals of Terms of Office for COACs	
10.8		
10.8.1	The board approved the renewal of the following terms of office for a further four years:	
	Nicky McAllister – COAC BBA	
10.0	Laura Walder - FVA COAC	
10.9	Report of the Sub-Groups and Academy Council Matters	
10.10	Chairs of Academy Council Meeting	
10.10.1	NM reported that there continues to be very good attendance at COAC meetings. Chairs are	
	presented with good information on trust wide updates from DN as well as recommendations	
	around what the next AC meeting should focus on. There is a successful recruitment drive	
	taking place and this needs to continue. Good discussions take place during the meeting	
	around the success of students (particularly those with SEND and disadvantage), attendance,	
10.11	recruitment and retention.	
10.11	Audit Committee	
10.11.1	The next Audit Committee meeting takes place on 4 July 2023.	
10.12	Finance Executive Group	
10.12.1	This has already been covered. BF thanked the Finance team for their work preparing next	
	year's budget.	
10.13	Projects, Premises and ICT Executive Group	
10.13.1	ACO reported that the PPI group had met and had reviewed the risks, key projects and the	
	estate. A discussion took place about the impact of growth; unlike some of the support	
	functions, most of the support provided for the estates is face to face, taking place on site.	
	The group discussed the need to ensure travel was taken into consideration. The new Director	
	of Estates (Martin Thomas) has now been appointed. ACO thanked AL for supporting the	
	group while MT was recruited.	l



10.14	People and Wellbeing Executive Group	
10.14.1	DA reported that the P & W group met last week and discussed the completion of mandatory	
	training. There were improvements in completion of training in 4 out of 5 areas. Members of	
	the group had received a comprehensive update on Health and Safety and had discussed the	
	results of the staff survey. KPIs were reviewed against the HR plan and there was a discussion	
	around recruitment and growth.	
10.15	Growth Committee	
10.15.1	MD provided an update on the Growth Committee meetings. The committee heard details	
	about the due diligence taking place for the Redstart schools. The committee gave challenge	
	around how Executive Team colleagues will balance the expectations for growth externally	
	and the continued improvements required to existing academies in the trust. MD noted that	
	the due diligence is extremely robust and thanked Executive Team colleagues involved in that	
	process.	
10.16	Remuneration Committee	
10.16.1	The remuneration committee has not met since the last board meeting.	
11	AOB	
11.1	No further business was reported.	`
12	Close of Meeting	
12.1	The next meeting takes place on 13 July 2023. The meeting closed at 7.15pm.	

Action date and no	Relates to item no	Action	Owner
22.06.23 02	9.4.4	RMY will provide a breakdown of responses by ethnicity in the next Operations Report to board.	RMY
22.06.23 03	9.4.6	RMY to provide analysis on why a disproportionate number of black members of staff are leaving the trust.	RMY
22.06.23 04	10.1.3	LT to confirm details of the Governance Review at the October board meeting.	LT